June 26, 2023

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

John Hummer
President
Burrell College of Osteopathic Medicine
3501 Arrowhead Drive
Las Cruces, NM 88001

Dear President Hummer:

This letter is formal notification of action taken by the Higher Learning Commission (HLC) Board of Trustees ("the Board") concerning Burrell College of Osteopathic Medicine ("the Institution"). This action is effective as of the date the Board acted, June 22, 2023. In taking this action, the Board considered materials from the most recent comprehensive evaluation, including, but not limited to: the Assurance Filing the Institution submitted, the report from the comprehensive evaluation team, the report of the Institutional Actions Council (IAC) Hearing Committee, and the institutional responses to these reports.

Summary of the Action: The Institution has been granted the status of Candidate for Accreditation. The term of candidacy is four years. The Institution must have an evaluation, known as a biennial evaluation to determine whether the Institution is making reasonable progress toward meeting accreditation requirements by the end of the Candidacy period. The Board action scheduled the Institution’s biennial evaluation for academic year 2024-25. The date of the comprehensive evaluation for initial accreditation will be identified in official HLC records as 2026-27.

The Institution is approved to offer the Doctor of Osteopathic Medicine.

Provisional Plan: HLC policy\(^1\) requires that the Institution file a Provisional Plan with HLC for review and approval by the Institutional Actions Council according to HLC’s substantive change procedures. This Provisional Plan must comport with HLC’s requirements for Provisional Plans. The Institution must submit its Provisional Plan within 90 calendar days of this letter.

Institutional Disclosure: The Institution must accurately disclose its status as Candidacy.\(^2\) While Candidacy represents membership with the Higher Learning Commission, it is not accredited status. If the Institution chooses to reference its Candidacy status in advertising and recruiting materials or other documents or locations, those disclosures must accurately describe

---

\(^1\) FDCR.B.10.010, HLC Approval of Institutional Teach-Out Arrangements

\(^2\) CRRT.A.10.010 Eligibility Requirements
the Institution’s status as a Candidate with the Higher Learning Commission. The Institution will accompany that reference with information on how to contact HLC. HLC reserves the right to issue a Public Statement correcting any incorrect or misleading information HLC determines that an Institution has publicized about its status.3

**Board Rationale**

The Board based its action on the following findings made with regard to the Institution as well as the entire record before the Board:


The Board of Trustees of the Higher Learning Commission has determined based on the findings and evidence in the record that the Institution has demonstrated that it has the capacity to meet the Criteria for Accreditation, including all Core Components, within the period of Candidacy.

**Next Steps in the HLC Review Process**

**Updated Assurance Filing:** The Institution must provide information to update the Assurance Filing assembled at the time the Institution was evaluated for candidacy. The updated Assurance Filing will address specific areas of concern identified by the Candidacy visit team and provide information relevant to the Institution’s continued progress toward meeting the Criteria for Accreditation. The Institution must also continue to demonstrate that it meets the Eligibility Requirements and Assumed Practices. (Federal compliance is not a component of the biennial evaluation.)

**Biennial Evaluation:** The Institution will host a biennial evaluation in 2024-25 to determine whether the Institution is making sufficient progress toward meeting the Criteria for Accreditation and continues to meet all the Eligibility Requirements and Assumed Practices, and whether a recommendation to continue the schedule of Candidacy may be made.

**Institutional Actions Council Review:** The Institutional Actions Council (IAC) will review the documents associated with the biennial evaluation to determine whether the schedule of Candidacy may be continued because the Institution is making sufficient progress toward meeting the Criteria for Accreditation and continues to meet all the Eligibility Requirements and Assumed Practices. Alternatively, if the Institution fails to continue to meet all the Eligibility Requirements and Assumed Practices, or is not making sufficient progress toward meeting the Criteria for Accreditation, the peer review team and/or IAC may recommend that the Board withdraw Candidacy.

---

3 FDCR.A.10.070, Public Information
**Supplemental Information about Early Initial Accreditation**

As a general matter, candidate institutions also have the opportunity to request to file an application for early initial accreditation – before the completion of the four-year candidacy term – and host an on-site evaluation to determine whether they meet the requirements to become accredited. The Institution may request to seek early initial accreditation provided that it has graduated or will graduate its first graduating class within thirty days of any Board action to award early initial accreditation. This evaluation may be in addition to, or instead of, the biennial evaluation. Regardless, the Institution is limited to one request for early initial accreditation during the four-year candidacy period. Following an evaluation for early initial accreditation, the Board has the discretion to continue the Institution in candidacy, instead of granting early initial accreditation, if a determination is made that one or more Core Components are Not Met or Met with Concerns, or if the Board otherwise determines that continuation of Candidacy is warranted. The Board may also withdraw Candidacy. If the Institution applies for early initial accreditation and is not successful in gaining accreditation, and the Board does not withdraw Candidacy, the Institution remains scheduled for its comprehensive evaluation for initial accreditation as stated above.

**HLC Disclosure Obligations**

The Board action resulted in changes that will be reflected in a Statement of Accreditation Status as well as an Institutional Status and Requirements Report. The Statement of Accreditation Status, including the dates of the last and next comprehensive evaluation visits, will be posted to the HLC website.

HLC policy requires that a summary of Board actions be sent to appropriate state and federal agencies and accrediting associations. It also will be published on HLC’s website. The summary will include this HLC action regarding the Institution.

On behalf of the Board of Trustees, thank you in advance for your cooperation. If you have questions about any of the information in this letter, please contact your HLC Staff Liaison, Dr. Andrew Lootens-White.

Sincerely,

Barbara Gellman-Danley
President

Cc: Chair of the Board of Trustees, Burrell College of Osteopathic Medicine
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4 INST.B.20.020, Candidacy and Initial Accreditation
5 COMM.A.10.010, Notice of Accreditation Actions, HLC Public Notices and Public Statements
Nina Nunez, Director for Accreditation and Institutional Assessment, Burrell College of Osteopathic Medicine
Stephanie Rodriguez, Cabinet Secretary, New Mexico Higher Education Department Evaluation Team Chair
IAC Hearing Committee Chair
Andrew Lootens-White, Vice President of Accreditation Relations, Higher Learning Commission
Anthea Sweeney, Vice President of Legal and Regulatory Affairs, Higher Learning Commission
Marla Morgen, General Counsel, Higher Learning Commission